

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PRINCE PAUL RAYMOND WILLIAMS,
Plaintiff,
v.
FRESNO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:21-cv-00596-DAD-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE
TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO OBEY
A COURT ORDER

(Doc. No. 5)

Plaintiff Prince Paul Raymond Williams, proceeding *pro se*, initiated this civil action on April 9, 2021. (Doc. No. 1.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 28, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and determined that it failed to state a cognizable claim for relief. (Doc. No. 4.) Plaintiff was granted leave to file a first amended complaint attempting to cure the deficiencies identified by the magistrate judge within thirty (30) days after service of that screening order. (*Id.* at 17.) Plaintiff was warned that his failure to file a first amended complaint in compliance with the screening order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim. (*Id.* at 16–17.) To date,

1 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal, and the time in
2 which to do so has passed.

3 Accordingly, on July 19, 2021, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations
4 recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to obey a court order, failure
5 to prosecute this action, and failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No.
6 5.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that
7 any objections thereto were to be filed within thirty (30) days after service. (*Id.* at 18.) To date,
8 no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do
9 so has now passed.

10 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
11 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the
12 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

13 Accordingly:

- 14 1. The findings and recommendations issued on July 19, 2021 (Doc. No. 5) are
15 adopted in full;
- 16 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute
17 this action and failure to obey court order; and
- 18 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: **October 11, 2021**

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28